

estimated deliveries for these facilities is 5,000 Mcf daily with a peak day estimate of 10,000 Mcf per day. Koch Gateway proposes to make natural gas deliveries under its ITS Rate Schedule. Koch Gateway further states that the service would not have an impact on its curtailment plan because the proposed service is interruptible in nature.

Koch Gateway further states that the estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$29,200. It is stated that Shell would reimburse Koch Gateway for the cost of the construction of the facilities.

Comment date: January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-80-000]

Take notice that on November 21, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), One Williams Center, P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-80-000, a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216(b)) for authorization to abandon, by reclaim, measuring and appurtenant facilities originally installed for the delivery of sales gas to (1) Missouri Gas Energy in Jasper County, Missouri; (2) Childress Mine and Quarry in Jasper County, Missouri; (3) Sabreliner Corp. in Newton County, Missouri; and (4) NEO Hospital in Craig County, Oklahoma, under WNG's blanket authorization issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG states that all of the affected customers have agreed to the reclaim of the facilities. WNG further states the total estimated reclaim costs are \$5,460 with an estimated salvage value of \$0.

WNG states it has sent a copy of this filing to the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission.

Comment date: January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

7. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-82-000]

Take notice that on November 22, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-82-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205, 157.212 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212 and 157.216) for authorization

to relocate and install new metering and appurtenant facilities for Farmland Industries, Inc. (Farmland) and to abandon by sale to Farmland the old meter and regulator settings and approximately 515 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline all located in Douglas County, Kansas, under WNG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG states the facilities were installed in 1963 to deliver sales gas to Farmland and do not currently meet the standard design specifications established by the American National Standards Institute and the American Petroleum Institute.

WNG states that it proposes to install a dual run 8-inch meter setting and appurtenant facilities approximately 400 feet north of the existing facilities. WNG states that installing the facilities at the new location will remove them from beneath high voltage power lines, and that the new metering facilities will be in compliance with established industry standards. WNG also states that the new location will eliminate the need for WNG employees to pass through Farmland's security to access WNG's facilities.

WNG states the current volume of gas flowing through the facilities is 78.5 MMcf on a peak day and 17,000 MMcf annually. WNG states that it does not anticipate any change in volume as a result of the proposed replacement.

WNG estimates the construction cost of its proposal to be \$150,660. WNG states that since the meter and regulator settings and the pipeline will be sold in place to Farmland, there is no reclaim cost associated with this project.

WNG submits that this proposal will not significantly affect a sensitive environmental area.

Comment date: January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the

appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29642 Filed 12-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[FRL-5340-2]

Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) Final Determinations

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of final actions.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce that between May 1, 1994 and September 30, 1995, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region II Office, issued 5 final determinations, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection issued 3 final determinations and the New York State Department of

Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) issued 10 final determinations pursuant to the Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) regulations codified at 40 CFR § 52.21.

DATES: The effective dates for the above determinations are delineated in the following chart (See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Jon of the Permitting and Toxics

Support Section, Air Compliance Branch, Division of Air and Waste Management, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 290 Broadway, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-4085.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the PSD regulations, the EPA Region II and the NYSDEC have made final PSD determinations relative to the sources listed below:

Name	Location	Project	Agency	Final action	Date
Eli Lilly Industries, Inc..	Mayaguez, Puerto Rico.	Proposed replacement of a steam boiler at the Mayaguez facility with a new 350 horsepower Cleaver Brooks boiler.	EPA	PSD Non-Applicability.	May 4, 1994.
Mercer and Atlantic County RRF.	Duck Island, New Jersey.	Two—833.8 tons per day MSW combustors each. Each combustor will be equipped with scrubber, baghouse, and carbon injection.	NJDEP	Final PSD Permit.	June 22, 1994.
Sithe Independence.	Oswego, New York.	1012 MW combined-cycle gas turbine (4 GE Frame 7001FA) cogeneration project firing natural gas.	NYSDEC	PSD Permit Modification.	June 29, 1994.
Selkirk Cogeneration Partners, L.P. (Phase I).	Selkirk, New York.	80 MW GE Frame 7 QC cogeneration project firing natural gas with No. 2 distillate oil and propane as a backup fuel.	NYSDEC	PSD Permit Modification.	July 15, 1994.
Virgin Islands Water and Power Authority (VIWAPA) (Units 15 & 18).	St. Thomas, Virgin Islands.	Relaxation of certain "low-load" restrictions for two existing oil-fired gas turbines (Units 15 and 18); deleting conditions prohibiting facility to operate only one of these units in combined-cycle mode at any given time; and allowing facility to burn up to 200,000 gallons per year of "off-spec" oil in two existing steam boilers (Units 11 and 13).	EPA	PSD Permit Modification.	August 24, 1994.
New Jersey Steel Corporation.	Sayreville, New Jersey.	Facility modernized its batch operation to a continuous feed Consteel process including a new larger baghouse, new canopy hood, new higher stack, and higher production rate.	NJDEP	PSD Permit Modification.	September 7, 1994.
LaFarge Corp ..	Syracuse, New York.	Proposed modifications to an existing pneumatic vessel unload system and an internal transfer/silo distribution system.	NYSDEC	PSD Non-Applicability.	September 21, 1994.
Virgin Islands Water and Power Authority (VIWAPA) (Unit 20).	St. Croix, Virgin Islands.	Revision to allow Unit 20 to begin operating for a period of up to 180 days prior to date of installation of PSD-required CEMS.	EPA	PSD Permit Modification.	November 16, 1994.
Saranac Power Partners.	Plattsburgh, New York.	240 MW combined-cycle gas turbine cogeneration project firing natural gas.	NYSDEC	PSD Permit Modification.	November 23, 1994.
Kamine Syracuse Cogeneration Project.	Syracuse, New York.	80 MW Siemens V64 firing natural gas with No. 2 distillate oil as a backup fuel.	NYSDEC	PSD Permit Modification.	December 20, 1994.
Kenetech Energy Systems.	Chateaugay, New York.	20 MW Riley Stoker Boiler firing wood	NYSDEC	PSD Permit Modification.	December 30, 1994.
Hollingsworth and Vose Company.	Easton, New York.	Addition of a new paper machine and the increased use of the boilers at an existing facility.	NYSDEC	PSD Non-Applicability.	March 29, 1995.
Newark Bay Cogeneration.	Newark, New Jersey.	Authorized an increase in the duration of the exemption for fuel transfer periods.	NJDEP	PSD Permit Modification.	April 11, 1995.
LifeSavers Manufacturing, Inc.	Las Piedras, Puerto Rico.	Removal of a GMT generator and a Clayton boiler with the addition of two new Cleaver Brooks boilers.	EPA	PSD Non-Applicability.	May 8, 1995.
Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogen Partners.	Brooklyn, New York.	Change in offset host from Domino Sugar to LILCO.	NYSDEC	PSD Permit Modification.	June 6, 1995.
Auburn Steel Company.	Auburn, New York.	Increase in hourly charging rate of the electric arc furnace from 55 to 85 tons of scrap metal/hour. Applicant has proposed to install a new larger baghouse and an annual production cap to ensure that increases at the proposed project are below the PSD de minimis levels.	NYSDEC	PSD Non-Applicability.	July 3, 1995.

Name	Location	Project	Agency	Final action	Date
Virgin Islands Water and Power Authority (VIWAPA) Unit #21.	St. Thomas, Virgin Islands.	New Unit # 21 at the Krum Bay Generating Station in St. Thomas. It is a 36 MW, simple cycle, oil-fired, GE Frame 6, gas turbine. It will burn No. 2 fuel oil with a maximum sulfur content of 0.2 percent by weight.	EPA	Final PSD Permit.	August 15, 1995.
Brystol-Myers Squibb Co.	Syracuse, New York.	Construction of three air pollution sources (biogas boiler, ground flare, and an odor scrubber). All criteria pollutants capped below the PSD de minimis levels.	NYSDEC	PSD Non-Applicability.	September 29, 1995.

This notice lists only the sources that have received final PSD determinations. Anyone who wishes to review these determinations and related materials should contact the following offices:

EPA Actions

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, Air Compliance Branch—21 Floor, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866

NJDEP Actions

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy, Division of Environmental Quality, Bureau of Engineering and Technology, 401 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey 08625

NYSDEC Actions

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Air Resources, Source Review and Regional Support Section, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233-0001

If available pursuant to the Consolidated Permit Regulations (40 CFR § 124), judicial review of these determinations under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act (the Act) may be sought *only* by the filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days from the date on which these determinations are published in the Federal Register. Under Section 307(b)(2) of the Act, these determinations shall not be subject to later judicial review in civil or criminal proceedings for enforcement.

Dated: October 30, 1995.

William Muszynski,
Deputy Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-29738 Filed 12-05-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5339-6]

Clean Air Act; Contractor Access to Confidential Business Information

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 40 CFR 2.301(h)(2) EPA has determined that Trandes Corporation requires access, on a need-to-know basis, to CBI materials submitted to EPA under Title II, Section 208, of the Clean Air Act (CAA). This access is necessary to this contractor's performance under EPA contract number 68-W6-001.

DATES: The transfer of such data to this EPA contractor will occur no sooner than December 11, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clifford D. Tyree, Project Manager/Freedom of Information Act Officer, Certification Division, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105, telephone (313) 668-4310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title II of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires that manufacturers of light-duty vehicles, light-duty trucks, heavy-duty engines, and motorcycles meet applicable exhaust emission standards. Section 208 of the CAA requires these manufacturers to provide “* * * such information as the Administrator may reasonably require * * *.” Because this information is collected under Section 208 of the Act, EPA possesses the authority to disclose said information to its authorized representatives. EPA provides a recommended application format identifying the information needed to support their assertions that their vehicles/engines comply with the applicable emission standards. Each manufacturer is required to submit an application for certification for a certificate of conformity to the applicable regulations. These data include vehicle descriptions, engine/vehicle descriptions, emission control system descriptions and calibrations, and sales information. Under contract No. 68-W6-0001 Trandes Corporation will provide computer data entry and computer application operational services for the Certification Division to process the data submitted by the manufacturers to support their respective exhaust emission and fuel economy programs. This contractor's responsibility is to maintain the

integrity of the transfer of these data. In order to perform this function the contractor may, on a need-to-know basis, have access to these data. The contractor's address is: Trandes Corporation, 4601 Presidents Drive, Suite 360, Lanham, MD 20706.

This contract will prohibit the use of the information for any purpose not specified in the contract; will prohibit the disclosure, in any form, to a third party; and will require that each official and employee of the contractor with access to the confidential information sign an agreement to protect the information from unauthorized release or access.

Dated: November 15, 1995.

Mary Nichols,
Assistant Administrator, for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 95-29743 Filed 12-05-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5338-6]

Office of Environmental Justice; Small Grants Program; Solicitation Notice for Fiscal Year (FY) 1996 Environmental Justice Small Grants to Community-Based/Grassroots Organizations and Tribal Governments

Purpose of the Grants Program

The purpose of this grants program is to provide financial assistance to eligible community groups (i.e., community-based/grassroots organizations, churches, or other non-profit organizations) and federally recognized tribal governments that are working on or plan to carry out projects to address environmental justice issues. While state and local governments and academic institutions are eligible to receive grants, preference will be given to community-based/grassroots organizations that are non-profit and incorporated, and federally recognized tribal governments. Funds can be used to develop a new activity or substantially improve the quality of existing programs.